



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,967	09/25/2006	Nicolas Nadaud	293259US0PCT	6539

22850 7590 11/15/2011  
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

COLEMAN, RYAN L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1714

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/15/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/586,967	NADAUD ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	RYAN COLEMAN	1714

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 26 October 2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☒ entered in part. See explanation below.

Applicant's amendment is accepted and entered. In the Examiner's Amendment and Notice of Allowance dated 9/14/2011, the examiner deleted the dash symbol that occurs before the word "subjecting" in claim 1. In the amendments filed 10/26/2011, applicant has not deleted this dash. This appears to merely be a typographical error, and it is the examiner's position that the dash should still be considered deleted.

/Michael Kornakov/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1714

/RLC/  
Ryan L. Coleman, patent examiner  
November 8, 2011